PLEASE SEE APPENDIX ONE OF THE MINUTES FOR A LIST OF THOSE MEMBERS
PRESENT AT THE MEETING.

PRESENT AT THE MEETING.		
HSP01.	ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR:	
	Councillor George Meehan, Leader of the Council, was elected Chair of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board for 2006-7.	
	Paul Head, of the College of North East London, was elected Vice-Chair of the Board.	
HSP02.	APOLOGIES AND INTRODUCTIONS:	
	Apologies for absence were received from Joanne McCartney, Lynne Featherstone and Simon O'Brien, and Faiza Rizvi (HarCen). Apologies were also received from Mary Vine-Morris, who was represented by Yolande Burgess; and Tracey Baldwin, who was represented by Helen Brown. Apologies for lateness were received from Richard Sumray.	
HSP03.	URGENT BUSINESS:	
	None received.	
HSP04.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:	
	None made	
HSP05.	MINUTES:	
	RESOLVED:	
	That the minutes of the meeting of 27 <sup>th</sup> March 2006 be agreed and signed by the Chair.	
HSP06.	TERMS OF REFERENCE AND GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS:	
	The Chair requested that no further papers were to be tabled at the meeting. All papers were to be submitted to the clerk in advance of the report deadline.	
	RESOLVED:	
	That a review of the Terms of Office limitation statue be adopted pro- tem, subject to being investigated as part of the HSP Review specified in item eight.	
HSP07.	HSP ANNUAL SELF-ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE 2005/6 AND IMPROVEMENT PLANNING FOR 2006/7 We noted that the self-assessment report is part of the performance	

	management arrangements for Local Strategic Partnership's in receipt of Neighbourhood Renewal Funding. We noted that the purpose of the self- assessment is to measure Haringey's relative position in relation to the national floor targets and the performance of comparator boroughs. The Board was informed that the assessment documents were submitted to GOL pending discussion and approval at this meeting.			
	We were reminded that the self-assessment includes a traffic light rating for each of the outcome areas – Education, Health, Crime Liveability, Worklessness, and Partnership Working. On the Employment/Worklessness rating, the Vice Chair suggested that the rating of Amber-Green might be over inflated at this stage notwithstanding the good progress being made in this area. We noted that a GREEN rating for the partnership overall was being proposed (up from the current rating of AMBER-GREEN) but that the actual rating was subject to further discussions with GOL at the annual review meeting in the coming weeks.			
	We also noted the Improvement Plan for 2006-07 which identified and brought together the main issues for improvement across the HSP and Theme Boards.			
	RESOLVED:			
	1. That we receive the report			
HSP08.	REVIEW OF THE HSP:			
	We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight strengths and weaknesses as part of the self-assessment process.			
	We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight			
	We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight strengths and weaknesses as part of the self-assessment process. Board Members saw the review as an opportunity for the HSP to define			
	We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight strengths and weaknesses as part of the self-assessment process. Board Members saw the review as an opportunity for the HSP to define both its rôle and its aspirations collectively. We noted the importance of having a robust conversation together in			
	We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight strengths and weaknesses as part of the self-assessment process. Board Members saw the review as an opportunity for the HSP to define both its rôle and its aspirations collectively. We noted the importance of having a robust conversation together in order to take full advantage of the proposed review.			
	We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight strengths and weaknesses as part of the self-assessment process. Board Members saw the review as an opportunity for the HSP to define both its rôle and its aspirations collectively. We noted the importance of having a robust conversation together in order to take full advantage of the proposed review. <b>RESOLVED:</b>			
	<ul> <li>We noted the report setting out the benefits of having an external review of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board. In light of both the Government Office for London and the Neighbourhood Renewal Unit's increasing emphasis on the need for evidence of effective Partnership working, we noted that it was important to conduct a review to highlight strengths and weaknesses as part of the self-assessment process.</li> <li>Board Members saw the review as an opportunity for the HSP to define both its rôle and its aspirations collectively.</li> <li>We noted the importance of having a robust conversation together in order to take full advantage of the proposed review.</li> <li><b>RESOLVED:</b> <ol> <li>That we receive the report.</li> <li>That the review should consider the relationship between the</li> </ol> </li> </ul>			

	the review.	
HSP09.	NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL FUND UPDATE:	
	We received a report informing the HSP about the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund outturn for 2005/06. The report also included details of new project proposals from the Well-Being Partnership Board, for approval by the HSP.	
	With regards to the Safer Neighbourhoods Policing Team, we learned that the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund had allocated a sum of money for the Wood Green Team to 'go live' ahead of schedule. Following the bringing forward of their implementation by the Metropolitan Police, we noted that this money would now used for strengthening the teams in Wood Green and other hotspots. Officers agreed to come back with a short briefing on what the money was allocated for, what is now being used for and how that contributed to the wider community safety agenda.	
	Members raised concern that housing had been excluded from consideration for Neighbourhood Renewal Fund projects. Members noted that other fundinc streams were available to be fed directly into housing projects, and that linkage was maintained through the Housing Partnership Group sitting under the Well-Being Partnership Board.	
RESOLVED:		
	1. That we note the final outturn as set out in the report.	
	2. That we approve the programme for 2006/07	
	3. That Officers produce a short briefing for Members on the Neighbourhood Renewal Fund money allocated for the Wood Green Safer Neighbourhoods Policing Team.	
HSP10.	DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMUNITY STRATEGY:	
	We received this report to update the HSP on the development process for the community strategy. We were also advised of the outcomes of the HSP Conference held at Alexandra Palace on 25 <sup>th</sup> May.	
	We received consultation material which was being used in the initial stages. We noted that Officers possessed a more detailed consultation plan should board members wish to view it. We urged Officers to incorporate the voluntary sector into future publicity for the strategy and its development.	
	It was felt that all possible innovative means of engagement should be carried out in order to get a broad cross-section of the borough's voice heard. Board Members were particularly of the opinion that young people should be engaged with more effectively during the preparation period for the strategy.	

	RESOLVED:	
	1. That we note the report and overall direction of the community strategy development process.	
	2. That we note the feedback from the HSP conference.	
	3. That we note the action plan, and urge Officers to focus on a deliverable number of priorities, with mechanisms in place to feed back to the board.	
	<ol> <li>That HAVCO, HARCEN and the NDC be included on future consultation literature, and that more attention be given to the rôle of the Voluntary Sector.</li> </ol>	
HSP11.	LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT (LAA):	
	We received the report consulting the HSP on the development of the Local Area Agreement (LAA).	
	We noted that a draft of the LAA would be completed by September which would include information on: - Mandatory targets - Stretch targets - Reward for achievement	
	We noted that the Council was required to select 12-14 'stretch targets' that were to be priority areas that were also achievable. We were advised that targets should not be unrealistic, as this would decrease the chances of gaining the financial reward for meeting these targets.	
	A description of the process being followed would be completed by June 30 <sup>th</sup> for submission to the Government Office for London (GOL), and a submission of the full draft would take place in September.	
	RESOLVED:	
	<ol> <li>That we note the report</li> <li>That an extra meeting of the HSP take place, to consider the draft Local Area Agreement.</li> <li>That the HSP agrees the process laid out for the development of the Local Area Agreement.</li> <li>That the HSP notes all other recommendations</li> </ol>	
HSP12.	THEME BOARD UPDATES:	
	We noted the Theme Board updates provided to Board Members, and noted that the HSP was able to flag up any specific issues which it wanted the Theme Boards to address.	

	We noted that some Theme Boards were yet to meet, and would update the HSP in due course.  RESOLVED:	
	1. That we note the Theme Board updates.	
HSP13.	ANY OTHER BUSINESS:	
	We noted the imminent launch of the Haringey Working Together Compact, and wished it our every success.	
HSP14.	DATES OF NEXT MEETINGS:	
	Next meeting to take place on 16 October 2006 at 6pm. An additional meeting to discuss the draft Local Area Agreement was also to take place, date and time to be determined.	
HSP15.	ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS:	
	None received.	
HSP16.	FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:	
	Partners wishing to put forward items for a future agenda are asked to contact Nicolas Mattis, Principal Support Officer (Council) at <u>nicolas.mattis@haringey.gov.uk</u>	

#### Councillor GEORGE MEEHAN

Chair, Haringey Strategic Partnership 2006/7

Date:\_\_\_\_\_

#### **APPENDIX ONE**

#### MEMBERS PRESENT AT THE MEETING

20 June 2006

AGENCY	REPRESENTATIVE
CORE/KEY AGENCIES	
Haringey Council	Cllr. George Meehan Chair of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Leader of the Council
Haringey Council	Dr Ita O'Donovan Chief Executive, Haringey Council
Haringey Council (Councillors)	Cllr Lorna Reith Deputy Leader of the Council Executive Member for Community Involvement
Haringey Council (Councillors)	Cllr Nilgun Canver Executive Member for Crime and Community Safety
Haringey Council (Councillors)	Cllr Isidoros Diakides Executive Member for Housing
Haringey Teaching Primary Care Trust	<b>Richard Sumray</b> Chairman, Haringey Teaching Primary Care Trust
Haringey Teaching Primary Care Trust	Helen Brown
Learning & Skills Council	Yolande Burgess, Lindsay Bates
College of North East London (CoNEL)	Paul Head Principal, CoNEL
Middlesex University	Professor Norman Revell
Registered Social Landlord	Neil Mawson Director, Metropolitan Housing Trust
New Deal for Communities (NDC)	Symon Sentain

COMMUNITY & VOLUNTARY SECTORS		
Faith Groups	Pastor Nims Obunge Chief Executive, Haringey Peace Alliance	
Haringey Community Empowerment Network (HarCEN)	Lauritz Hansen-Bay	
HSP THEMATIC BOARDS		
Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board (CYPSPB)	Sharon Shoesmith CYPSPB representative to the HSP	
Better Places Partnership (BPP)	Cllr Brian Haley BPP representative to the HSP	
Well Being Partnership (WBP)	Anne Bristow WBP representative to the HSP	
OBSERVERS		
Government Office for London	Jo Gordon, Shaun Rogan	
Audit Commission	Jackie Barry-Purssel Sophia Looney	
ALSO PRESENT		
Haringey Council	David Hennings	
Haringey Council	Justin Holliday	
Haringey Association of Voluntary and Community Organisations (HAVCO)	Pamela Pemberton	
Haringey Council	Mary Connolly	
Haringey Council	Janice Robinson	
Haringey Council	Nilam Popat	
Haringey Council	Marcelle Fletcher	
Haringey Council	Zena Brebazon	
Haringey Council	Jeremy Williams	